1	Turtle Mountain Community College		
2	Special Board of Directors Meeting		
3	May 5, 2009		
4	5:00 p.m.		
5			
6	OFFICIAL MINUTES		
7 8			
9	Board of Directors Present: Emil LaRocque, John Trottier, Lance Azure, Barbara		
10	Poitra.		
11	December 1 of Discourse Alexander December 1 of December 1		
12 13	Board of Directors Absent: Ron Peltier		
14	Board of Trustees Present: Resa Rivard, Yvonne St. Claire, Janice Azure, Jim		
15	Lindgren.		
16 17	TMCC Employees: Dr. Jim Davis, Dr. Leonard Dauphinais, Jaclyn Stein.		
18	TMCC Employees: Dr. Jim Davis, Dr. Leonard Dauphinais, Jaciyn Stein.		
19	P2P Board Present: Stephanie Jay, Gene Laducer, Phyllis Jollie, Jeremy Laducer,		
20	Levi Baker, Phillip Lenoir, Ferrel Gourneau, Jim Baker, Mary Lou Davis, Darrell		
21 22	Charette, Marlin Belgarde.		
23	Other Present: Lyle Poitra.		
24			
25 26	Chairman Azure called the meeting to order at 5:18 p.m.		
27	• The reason for this meeting is for the TMCC Board and the P2P Board to meet		
28	and become affiliated. Introductions took place.		
29			
30	P2P wishes to revitalize old Main Street in downtown Belcourt. This is a		
31	community plan which was created by local citizens. A majority of these citizens		
32	live in poverty or did live in poverty. The Tribe agreed with the plan and the P2P		
33	Board is implementing it.		
34			
35	 Barbara Poitra enters the meeting at 5:26 p.m. 		
36			
37	 P2P has not been able to move forward with the revitalization portion of the 		
38	Keystone Project. All other items are on schedule. See attachment with		
39	explanations.		
2)	explanations.		

40				
41	•	The land owned on the South side of the street is mostly owned by TMCC. P2P		
42		currently intends on proceeding on the North side of the street with rebuilding.		
43		TMCC also owns some buildings on the North side of the Road which is Tribal		
44		land. They would like to revitalize this side of the road.		
45				
46	•	We need to come to an agreement with the situation. TMCC currently has a lease		
47		with the Tribe for a 25+ year contract. The lease has been misplaced but we have		
48		someone researching this issue. TMCC was not informed prior to having the		
49		agreement set.		
50				
51	•	Marlin Belgarde entered the meeting at 5:35 p.m.		
52				
53	•	TMCC's concerns are:		
54		o Whose responsibility it is to find something equivalent to the buildings?		
55		TMCC put money into the buildings.		
56		 TMCC wouldn't have to pay rent for the first ten years for the housing 		
57		of their businesses.		
58		 When originally talking about the keystone project, they were going to 		
59		revitalize by adding to the area.		
60		 It was not mentioned that buildings were going to be torn down. 		
61		 Could P2P possibly build on the other side of the road, which is TMCC's 		
62		land?		
63		 P2P stated that the plan is very specific about revitalizing old Main 		
64		Street and it would be very difficult to change anything in the plan.		
65		o What is the cost and for who?		
66		 TMCC could relocate the buildings, but the cost would be high to have 		
67		renovations completed once the buildings are moved.		
68		 If the buildings are moved to the South side, that takes away from all 		
69		of the parking.		
70		 Dynaband and Tribal Scholarship would remain in the same spot. 		

71			
72	•	Jeremy Laducer requested to have information provided to him stating what the	
73		square footage of the buildings are and what amount of that square footage is	
74		being utilized by the college.	
75			
76	•	TMCC has an obligation to help the community. If the buildings are vacant, the	
77		can be demolished or renovated. We do not want to put any business or program	
78		out.	
79			
80	•	P2P is currently at a standstill until they can determine where they can rebuild.	
81		 If buildings are already in place, it will not harm any of the people 	
82		 Possibly start on the South side of the road, re-house the college 	
83		businesses, and then start building on the North side of the road.	
84			
85	•	Who will be the owner or owners of the building and maintain them.	
86		 P2P and TMCC could possibly work as a partnership and have TMCC 	
87		own the buildings.	
88			
89		P2P is unable to secure funds for the project until they have access to the land.	
90			
91	•	P2P may have better chances of getting funding if they were to tear down the old	
92		housing. Investors may look at the current area that they would be funding.	
93			
94	•	We need to come up with a timeline and come up with a MOU between P2P and	
95		TMCC. Possibly have a partnership.	
96			
97		Phillip Lenoir exited the meeting at 6:05 p.m.	
98			
99	•	P2P came up with \$145,000 to demolish or move buildings.	
100			
101		 Once the buildings are moved, they need to work on the infrastructure. 	

102		 The working committee has not completed their jobs to present the
103		information to their own Boards. They agreed to each come up with a
104		conclusion then bring it forward to their Board.
105		
106	•	P2P knows that they need to have a partnership with TMCC.
107		 They should work together as Boards rather than working committees.
108		
109	•	Darrell Charette exits at 6:09 p.m.
110		
111	•	Continuation from Jeremy Laducer's request. TMCC has 23,000 square feet on
112		the South Campus. Of that amount, TMCC is using 9,600 sq. ft directly and
113		14,600 sq. ft. indirectly. The college has an income generated from the amount of
114		square feet being used indirectly.
115		
116	•	The P2P Board would like for the TMCC Board to get together and be informed.
117		 The P2P Board should have at least 2 representatives from TMCC on their
118		board.
119		 Possibly have an open door policy for P2P Board Meetings and have
120		TMCC staff attend meetings.
121		
122	•	The cost of demolition and rebuilding is more costly than building in another area.
123		 The construction should be somewhere that there is a possibility of
124		growth.
125		
126	•	The Northwest Area Foundation has \$3 million to work on the project.
127		 If TMCC could get involved, it would be helpful.
128		
129	•	There are currently 2 building of TMCC's that need constant renovations.
130		
131	•	Dr. Davis would like the project to be put off for an additional 2-4 years, which
132		would give TMCC time to get involved and attempt to get funding.

133	•	Jeremy Laducer stated that we need two things. 1. We need a transition plan in	
134		place; 2. The Board meetings should have a 3 plan phase in place which covers	
135		the (1) demolition, (2) construction, and (3) Road Construction.	
136			
137	•	P2P would do the demolition of the buildings.	
138			
139	•	Dr. Davis stated that we have 3 options, which include: 1. Scrap the Keystone	
140		Project, 2. Adjust the timeline to a later time or 3. Stay with current timeline and	
141		do best we can to complete the project on time.	
142		o Dr. Dauphinais stated that he created a transition plan and provided it to	
143		Phyllis Jollie at one time. Jeremy Laducer would like Dr. Dauphinais to	
144		email this plan to him at jeremy@tribalresources.com.	
145		 Phyllis Jollie also listed 3 options, which include: 1. Keep with current 	
146		plan and follow it through, 2. Build on the South side of the road, rather	
147		than the North or 3. Adjust the timeline and still determine which side to	
148		build on and create a partnership with TMCC.	
149			
150	•	Marlin Belgarde recommended relocating downtown to another area in Belcourt.	
151		 Could have more space and more parking. 	
152		 We need to determine what is best for the people. 	
153			
154	•	Emil LaRocque recommended placing the renovation on hold and return back to	
155		creating jobs for the community.	
156			
157	•	Gene Laducer stated that the problem with moving the renovation area is that we	
158		are trying to keep everything centralized.	
159		 If you move the downtown, it isn't going to keep everything centralized. 	
160		 We can possibly work with the Tribe to get rid of the old housing. 	
161			
162		Jim Baker and Levi Baker exit the meeting at 6:50 p.m.	

163	•	TMCC and P2P need to come up with a number of options, and then begin	
164		agreeing on one option.	
165			
166	•	Due to the timeline, we need to come to a decision. Remember that P2P is behind	
167		on the Keystone Project and cannot move any further.	
168			
169	•	Possibly have the Tribe and TMCC have an even trade with the land.	
170			
171	•	Possibly have TMCC move to an alternate site.	
172			
173	•	Need to have a three way partnership, which would include TMCC, P2P, and the	
174		Tribe.	
175			
176	•	The Tribal Council already approved the current Keystone Project plan. This	
177		would be a setback to have to change the current plan.	
178			
179	•	We need to get everything timed out properly.	
180			
181	•	Possibly find temporary housing for some of the college programs.	
182			
183	•	Want to determine who will own the building for rent purposes.	
184			
185	•	We need to have a plan in place which would be without a large amount of	
186		interruption in services for the businesses.	
187			
188	•	We need to determine who will own the building.	
189			
190	•	The goal is to bring the businesses closer to the poverty stricken area for the	
191		people that are unable to drive placed to get what they need.	
192 193			

194	 The Next meeting is scheduled for N 	May 19 th at 5:00 p.m. This meeting will be
195	held at TMCC in the Board Room, b	out chaired by the P2P Board (Phyllis Jollie).
196		
197	 Meeting adjourned at 7:17 p.m. 	
198		
199		
200		
201		
202		
203		
204 205 206 207		
208	hanulot R. Came	Cladyn Stun
209	Lancelot Azure, Chairman	Jaclyn Stein, Board Secretary